

8



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/902,986 | 07/11/2001 | IMRAN Sharif | UNIQA-PPA2 | 1926 |
| 27627 | 7590 | 04/07/2005 | EXAMINER | |
| ROBERT BUCKLEY, PATENT ATTORNEY P.O BOX 272 LIVERMORE, CA 94551-0272 | | | HAILU, TADESSE | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2173 | |

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/902,986 | SHARIF ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Tadesse Hailu | 2173 | |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Tadesse Hailu. (3) _____.

(2) Applicant Representative. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 03 March 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: 60/193,046.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The applicant left a message on the Examiner's answering machine on March 28, 2005 and called back on March 30, 2005 regarding the above priority application number cited in the applied reference. The Applicant is saying that he did not receive the above document during the Final Office action, mailed Nov. 2004. As a result the applicant is requesting the Examiner to get a copy of the prior art. Accordingly the copy of the document is enclosed herein.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 3/30/05
Examiner's signature, if required